

Joshua Hills Elementary School

School Accountability Report Card

Reported Using Data from the 2014-15 School Year

Published During 2015-16

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

About This School

Contact Information (Most Recent Year)

School Contact Information	
School Name	Joshua Hills Elementary School
Street	3030 Fairfield Avenue
City, State, Zip	Palmdale, CA 93550-8300
Phone Number	(661) 265-9992
Principal	Regina L. Tillman
E-mail Address	RLTillman@palmdalesd.org
Web Site	
Grades Served	K-6
CDS Code	19648576106793

District Contact Information	
District Name	Palmdale Elementary School District
Phone Number	(661) 947-7191
Superintendent	Raul Maldonado
E-mail Address	DJNardi@palmdalesd.org
Web Site	www.palmdalesd.org

School Description and Mission Statement (Most Recent Year)

Palmdale School District Mission Statement

The mission of the Palmdale School District is to provide each child with a rigorous and relevant academic education, a safe learning environment and the knowledge, skills and attitudes necessary for success in the 21st Century.

Joshua Hills Staff has a commitment to giving our students the best, well-rounded education possible. We are dedicated to teaching the whole child and meeting their academic and social/emotional needs.

The mission of Joshua Hills Elementary School is to provide each child with a rigorous and relevant academic education, a safe learning environment and the knowledge, skills and attitudes necessary for success in the 21st Century.

VISION STATEMENT:

The Joshua Hills community will work together in collaboration to establish an environment that will provide our students with meaningful social and academic experiences that will broaden their horizons. The entire community will be dedicated to the development of self-esteem, self-discipline and responsibility in all students so that they are able to connect the knowledge they gain in a way that empowers them and influences their choices throughout their lives.

We subscribe to the Palmdale School District Vision and Mission: Palmdale School District is a place dedicated to each of our children, devoted to our whole community, defined by our ideals, and core values: Excellence, accountability, diversity, integrity, community and transparency.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2014-15)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	98
Grade 1	95
Grade 2	127
Grade 3	114
Grade 4	119
Grade 5	121
Grade 6	133
Total Enrollment	807

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2014-15)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	16.2
American Indian or Alaska Native	1.1
Asian	0.6
Filipino	0.5
Hispanic or Latino	75.2
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.7
White	5
Two or More Races	0.6
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	90.8
English Learners	30.1
Students with Disabilities	11
Foster Youth	3.7

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School			District
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2015-16
With Full Credential	33	31	29	664
Without Full Credential	0	0	1	22
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	0

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2014-15)

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects	
	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	89.7	10.3
All Schools in District	76.4	23.6
High-Poverty Schools in District	76.4	23.6
Low-Poverty Schools in District	0.0	0.0

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2015-16)

Year and month in which data were collected: September 2015

All students are provided with appropriate textbooks in each curriculum area.

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	K-6 ELA/ELD: Houghton Mifflin Medallions 2010 7-8 ELA: Pearson Literature California 2010 7-8 ELD: Pearson Language Central 2010 4-8 Core Replacement: Cambium Learning Group - Language! 2010	Yes	0
Mathematics	Math K-5: Engage New York Math 2015 Math 6 – 8: Houghton Mifflin Math Big Ideas 2015	Yes	0
Science	K-6: Harcourt California Edition Science 2007 7-8: Holt, Rinehart, & Winston 2007	Yes	0
History-Social Science	K-6: Macmillan McGraw Hill 2007 7-8: McDougal Littell 2006	Yes	0
Foreign Language	7-8: Pearson Learning 2011	Yes	0

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

A priority of Joshua Hills School is to provide a safe, secure, and clean environment for learning. All entrances to the school remain locked during school hours and visitors must check in with the office prior to entering the campus. There are supervised bus load spots before and after school and crossing guards. Our custodial staff performs basic cleaning operations in each classroom and other school facilities every day. It is our policy to maintain our classrooms and restrooms in a clean and orderly manner on a daily basis. Our staff monitors the hallways and restrooms on a regular basis. The district policy is to make necessary repairs as quickly as possible to keep the facilities in a safe and working condition. A work order process is in place to assist in providing these services.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)				
Year and month in which data were collected: March 2015				
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
Interior: Interior Surfaces	X			
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	X			
Electrical: Electrical	X			
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	X			
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	X			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X			

Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)

Year and month in which data were collected: March 2015				
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
			X	

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP], Science California Standards Tests); and
- The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Results for All Students (School Year 2014-15)

Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)		
	School	District	State
English Language Arts/Literacy	26	30	44
Mathematics	18	19	33

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)

Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven (School Year 2014-15)

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
All Students	3	108	107	99.1	49	33	13	5
	4	122	121	99.2	64	15	17	3
	5	123	122	99.2	48	23	23	7
	6	141	137	97.2	34	30	27	9
Male	3		47	43.5	55	30	13	2
	4		50	41.0	66	18	14	2
	5		57	46.3	61	23	9	7
	6		68	48.2	43	25	25	7
Female	3		60	55.6	43	35	13	7
	4		71	58.2	63	13	20	4
	5		65	52.8	35	23	35	6
	6		69	48.9	26	35	29	10
Black or African American	3		17	15.7	47	47	0	6
	4		26	21.3	77	15	4	4
	5		23	18.7	57	26	17	0
	6		25	17.7	32	48	12	8
American Indian or Alaska Native	4		1	0.8	--	--	--	--
	5		1	0.8	--	--	--	--
	6		3	2.1	--	--	--	--
Asian	5		1	0.8	--	--	--	--
	6		4	2.8	--	--	--	--
Filipino	4		1	0.8	--	--	--	--
	5		1	0.8	--	--	--	--
	6		1	0.7	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	3		81	75.0	48	31	15	5
	4		86	70.5	60	15	22	2
	5		91	74.0	44	24	24	8
	6		95	67.4	37	28	28	6
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	3		2	1.9	--	--	--	--
	4		1	0.8	--	--	--	--
	6		1	0.7	--	--	--	--
White	3		6	5.6	--	--	--	--
	4		6	4.9	--	--	--	--
	5		4	3.3	--	--	--	--
	6		8	5.7	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	3		1	0.9	--	--	--	--

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
	5		1	0.8	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3		100	92.6	50	32	12	5
	4		106	86.9	62	16	20	2
	5		103	83.7	48	24	22	6
	6		114	80.9	38	35	21	6
English Learners	3		42	38.9	55	29	10	5
	4		31	25.4	90	6	3	0
	5		34	27.6	79	18	3	0
	6		27	19.1	63	30	7	0
Students with Disabilities	3		6	5.6	--	--	--	--
	4		17	13.9	94	0	6	0
	5		23	18.7	96	0	0	4
	6		22	15.6	86	14	0	0
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	3		1	0.9	--	--	--	--
	4		1	0.8	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	3		--	--	--	--	--	--
	4		--	--	--	--	--	--
	5		--	--	--	--	--	--
	6		--	--	--	--	--	--

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics

Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven (School Year 2014-15)

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
All Students	3	108	106	98.1	42	42	13	1
	4	122	121	99.2	54	28	12	5
	5	123	121	98.4	50	32	11	7
	6	141	137	97.2	45	31	11	12
Male	3		47	43.5	49	34	15	0
	4		50	41.0	56	26	12	4
	5		57	46.3	58	23	11	9
	6		66	46.8	50	26	11	14
Female	3		59	54.6	37	49	12	2
	4		71	58.2	52	30	13	6
	5		64	52.0	44	41	11	5

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
	6	71	50.4	41	37	11	11	
Black or African American	3	17	15.7	59	18	18	6	
	4	26	21.3	69	15	8	4	
	5	22	17.9	68	23	9	0	
	6	25	17.7	64	28	4	4	
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	1	0.8	--	--	--	--	
	5	1	0.8	--	--	--	--	
	6	3	2.1	--	--	--	--	
Asian	5	1	0.8	--	--	--	--	
	6	4	2.8	--	--	--	--	
Filipino	4	1	0.8	--	--	--	--	
	5	1	0.8	--	--	--	--	
	6	1	0.7	--	--	--	--	
Hispanic or Latino	3	80	74.1	39	49	11	0	
	4	86	70.5	50	30	14	6	
	5	91	74.0	46	34	11	9	
	6	95	67.4	43	34	13	11	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	3	2	1.9	--	--	--	--	
	4	1	0.8	--	--	--	--	
	6	1	0.7	--	--	--	--	
White	3	6	5.6	--	--	--	--	
	4	6	4.9	--	--	--	--	
	5	4	3.3	--	--	--	--	
	6	8	5.7	--	--	--	--	
Two or More Races	3	1	0.9	--	--	--	--	
	5	1	0.8	--	--	--	--	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3	99	91.7	44	40	13	1	
	4	106	86.9	53	29	12	5	
	5	102	82.9	49	33	12	6	
	6	114	80.9	51	32	10	8	
English Learners	3	41	38.0	41	44	15	0	
	4	31	25.4	77	19	3	0	
	5	34	27.6	76	21	3	0	
	6	27	19.1	74	26	0	0	
Students with Disabilities	3	6	5.6	--	--	--	--	
	4	18	14.8	94	0	0	6	
	5	23	18.7	96	0	4	0	

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
	6		22	15.6	86	14	0	0
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	3		1	0.9	--	--	--	--
	4		1	0.8	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	3		--	--	--	--	--	--
	4		--	--	--	--	--	--
	5		--	--	--	--	--	--
	6		--	--	--	--	--	--

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

California Standards Tests for All Students in Science (Three-Year Comparison)

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	27	37	35	48	48	49	59	60	56

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California Standards Tests Results by Student Group in Science (School Year 2014-15)

Student Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced
All Students in the LEA	49
All Students at the School	35
Male	27
Female	41
Black or African American	16
American Indian or Alaska Native	--
Asian	--
Filipino	--
Hispanic or Latino	38
White	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	--
English Learners	9
Students with Disabilities	32
Foster Youth	--

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2014-15)

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
5	16.00	22.70	21.80

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (Most Recent Year)

Parent Volunteers
 Parent Teacher Association
 Teacher Assistance Program
 Adult ESL Program
 School Site Council
 English Language Advisory Committee
 Noon Duty Supervisor Substitutes
 Gifted and Talented Advisory Board
 Family Nights
 Parent Conferences
 Title I Meeting
 Open House
 Awards Assemblies
 Fine Arts Performances
 Parent Academy
 Parenting Partner Classes

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School			District			State		
	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Suspensions	4.33	4.91	2.75	7.14	6.41	5.58	5.07	4.36	3.80
Expulsions	0.17	0.09	0.00	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.13	0.10	0.09

School Safety Plan (Most Recent Year)

A Safe School Model exists by incorporating four components:

- 1) Personal Characteristics of Students and Staff
- 2) The School's Physical Environment
- 3) The School's Social Environment
- 4) The School's Cultural Environment

At Joshua Hills School the Disaster Preparedness Plan is updated yearly. The plan was reviewed and updated by the safety committee on January 30th, 2014. Emergency drills are routinely held on a monthly basis. PTA continues to purchase and make available many emergency supplies. All classrooms have emergency kits.

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2014-15)

AYP Criteria	School	District	State
Made AYP Overall	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	N/A	N/A	N/A
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics	N/A	N/A	N/A
Met Attendance Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Graduation Rate	N/A	N/A	Yes

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2015-16)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2003-2004	2004-2005
Year in Program Improvement*	Year 5	Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	24
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	88.9

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade Level	2012-13				2013-14				2014-15			
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes		
		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+
K	30		5		25	1	3	1	25		8	
1	29		4		29		4		24		8	
2	27		5		29		5		32		8	
3	30		4		31		4		29		8	
4	24	1	5		25	1	4		30	2		6
5	27	1	4		27	1	4		27	2	4	2
6	27	1	5		26	1	5		29	2	2	6

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2014-15)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor		
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)		N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	.5	N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)		N/A
Psychologist	1	N/A
Social Worker		N/A
Nurse		N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1	N/A
Resource Specialist	1	N/A
Other		N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data. One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2013-14)

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Supplemental/Restricted	Basic/Unrestricted	
School Site	\$277.88	\$202.49	\$75.39	\$57,167.95
District	N/A	N/A	\$85.08	\$67,409
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-11.4	-13.8
State	N/A	N/A	\$5,348	\$72,993
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-98.4	-19.2

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

Joshua Hill's School is able to provide all students with a rich and balanced curriculum. The following programs are funded to ensure that all students have full access to the core curriculum: Title 1 (funds for at-risk students), EIA/LEP Funds, After school enrichment and remediation programs, English Learners Program, Special Education, Resource Specialist Program, and Family Involvement Programs. Funds are also used to support our Professional Learning Communities (PLC), through which our teachers meet and discuss the needs of our students and plan standards based curriculum and instruction using information from collected data including: common formative assessments, district benchmark assessments and state assessments.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2013-14)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$35,620	\$43,091
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$64,831	\$70,247
Highest Teacher Salary	\$77,482	\$89,152
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$106,769	\$112,492
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$104,400	\$116,021
Average Principal Salary (High)		\$117,511
Superintendent Salary	\$195,000	\$192,072
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	36%	41%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	5%	6%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)

Teachers meet frequently within and across grade levels in lesson study and grade specific institutes to plan and discuss what they are doing and how to coordinate instruction. A teacher survey is taken at the end of the school year that guides site professional development and in-service selection decisions. Student data is also used to determine professional development offerings. Sites design specific professional development based on academic content standards, the California Standards for the Teaching Profession, and research-based practices.

Educational Services, Special Education, English Learners, Student Assessment and Accountability, and Teacher Support departments participate in the planning and implementation of District staff development activities. Courses are offered throughout the year on week nights and Saturdays.

Training's are also offered during the summer months on content specific and professional areas such as SIOP, Teacher Leaders, English Language Arts, mathematics workshops, unpacking standards, and intervention programs. . Administration training focuses on the development of learning communities.

Conference and convention attendance, in-service meetings and staff collaboration offers additional opportunities for professional growth. Teachers observe other professionals, engage in peer coaching and work with mentors and grade level or departmental colleagues.