

Summerwind Elementary School

School Accountability Report Card

Reported Using Data from the 2012-13 School Year

Published During 2013-14

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

I. Data and Access

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

Additional Information

For further information regarding the data elements and terms used in the SARC see the 2012–13 Academic Performance Index Reports Information Guide located on the CDE API Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/>.

II. About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2013-14)

School Contact Information	
School Name	Summerwind Elementary School
Street	39360-A Summerwind Drive
City, State, Zip	Palmdale, CA 93551-4082
Phone Number	(661) 947-3863
Principal	Roberto Lopez
E-mail Address	rlopez@palmdalesd.org
CDS Code	19-64857-6108633

District Contact Information	
District Name	Palmdale Elementary
Phone Number	(661) 947-7191
Web Site	www.palmdalesd.org
Superintendent	Pauline Winbush, Interim
E-mail Address	DJNardi@palmdalesd.org

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information about the school, its programs and its goals.

Palmdale School District Mission Statement

The mission of the Palmdale School District is to provide each child with a rigorous and relevant academic education, a safe learning environment and the knowledge, skills and attitudes necessary for success in the 21st Century.

Summerwind Elementary Mission

Through our dynamic Professional Learning Communities, we will make learning accessible for every student and help motivate each child to reach their full potential.

Summerwind Elementary Core Values

- Focus on student learning
- Encourage student-to-student talk, including teaching them how to discuss, share ideas, to investigate, to ask leading questions, and to teach one another. We will also use this as an opportunity for students to write responses based on these conversations.
- Have students become more responsible and accountable for their education by implementing organizational skills and study habits (for example in grades 4-6 through the use of AVID strategies)
- Have students set personal goals, map their personal journey, celebrating their accomplishments
- Continuously monitor student progress
- Relentlessly provide positive reinforcement
- Provide an environment that is clean, safe, and attractive
- Encourage writing by giving them opportunities to write daily, and display their own work as writing models, with understandable rubrics
- Use assessment data to reflect on our own teaching practices, and to set personal, grade level teaching goals, and to provide differentiated instruction.
- Know each of our students, understanding what is holding them back from making progress and helping them overcome those issues. We also need to learn what motivates each student.
- Foster a love of learning through cooperative student groups, hands-on projects, and engaging lessons.
- Develop an intrinsic thirst for reading in our students by providing high-interest books, plenty of opportunity to read, and extrinsic rewards such as A.R. points and student store.
- Create a community of learners that include staff and students, who are respectful, responsible, and kind to one another.

Summerwind's school vision also partners with that of the vision of the Palmdale School District which all schools will be a place dedicated by our ideals, and providing the finest elementary education in the Antelope Valley.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information on how parents can become involved in school activities, including contact information pertaining to organized opportunities for parent involvement.

The parents of Summerwind School students are deeply involved and interested in the operation of their school. Summerwind School has an active Parent Teacher Association (PTA) , Parent Academy and English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC) which meets on a monthly basis. Parents also serve on the Summerwind School Site Council. The principal also hosts an event called Coffee with the Principal to hear the parents concerns and answer any questions. This group of parents, teachers, and classified school employees oversee the development of the School Site Program, as well as the evaluation of the school's efforts to carry out the plan and its goals. Sixty-five percent of the students receive a free lunch due to family participation in the Federal Aide-To-Dependent-Children program.

Summerwind School staff and parent organizations are committed to the principle that all students can learn. We believe that almost all students are capable of achieving excellence in learning the essentials of formal schooling. We believe that the instructional process and learning conditions can be changed to ensure appropriate pupil achievement. Our commitment to this principle is reflected in our major commitment to the implementation of multiple remedial programs and extended learning opportunities.

This belief system is in concert with the Palmdale School District Mission Statement: To provide each of our children with a rigorous academic education, a safe learning environment, and the knowledge, skills and attitudes necessary for success.

III. Student Performance

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- **California Standards Tests (CSTs)**, which include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- **California Modified Assessment (CMA)**, an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations.
- **California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA)**, includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.

For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at <http://star.cde.ca.gov>.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
English-Language Arts	47	52	41	41	44	42	54	56	55
Mathematics	54	52	47	47	49	43	49	50	50
Science	57	70	29	50	54	48	57	60	59
History-Social Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	36	42	38	48	49	49

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group - Most Recent Year

Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
	English-Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History-Social Science
All Students in the LEA	42	43	48	N/A
All Student at the School	41	47	29	N/A
Male	38	48	36	N/A
Female	44	46	24	N/A
Black or African American	32	35	12	N/A
American Indian or Alaska Native				N/A
Asian				N/A
Filipino	75	93		N/A
Hispanic or Latino	36	45	23	N/A
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander				N/A
White	64	63		N/A
Two or More Races	52	63		N/A
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	35	42	24	N/A
English Learners	14	32		N/A
Students with Disabilities	20	25		N/A
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				N/A

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2012-13)

The California Physical Fitness Test (PFT) is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. For detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state, see the CDE PFT Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/>.

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
5	23.5	24.8	26.2

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

IV. Accountability

Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. For detailed information about the API, see the CDE API Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/>.

Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools' API ranks. The statewide API rank ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state.

The **similar schools API rank** reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2010	2011	2012
Statewide	5	4	4
Similar Schools	8	7	7

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison

Group	Actual API Change		
	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
All Students at the School	-7	17	-56
Black or African American	-12	71	-87
American Indian or Alaska Native			
Asian			
Filipino			
Hispanic or Latino	-7	7	-51
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander			
White		-10	
Two or More Races			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	-7	19	-61
English Learners	-27	-6	-53
Students with Disabilities	-32	57	-65

Note: "N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information.

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - 2013 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2013 Growth API at the school, LEA, and state level.

Group	2013 Growth API					
	School		District		State	
	# of Students	Growth API	# of Students	Growth API	# of Students	Growth API
All Students at the School	624	739	14,833	744	4,655,989	790
Black or African American	115	689	2,305	695	296,463	708
American Indian or Alaska Native	3		89	727	30,394	743
Asian	11	807	115	850	406,527	906
Filipino	28	909	218	854	121,054	867
Hispanic or Latino	375	724	10,548	746	2,438,951	744
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0		23	773	25,351	774
White	67	808	1,121	795	1,200,127	853
Two or More Races	17	725	248	722	125,025	824
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	491	711	12,561	731	2,774,640	743
English Learners	197	690	5,679	714	1,482,316	721
Students with Disabilities	96	534	1,946	590	527,476	615

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

For detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, see the CDE AYP Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/>.

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2012-13)

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall	No	No
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	No	No
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics	No	No
Met API Criteria	No	No
Met Graduation Rate (if applicable)	N/A	N/A

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2013-14)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE PI Status Determinations Web page: <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp>.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2003-2004	2004-2005
Year in Program Improvement	Year 5	Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	---	25
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	---	92.6

V. School Climate

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2012-13)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	129
Grade 1	138
Grade 2	140
Grade 3	139
Grade 4	131
Grade 5	153
Grade 6	152
Total Enrollment	982

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2012-13)

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment	Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	19.7	White	11.6
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.8	Two or More Races	2.9
Asian	1.7	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	78.2
Filipino	3.4	English Learners	29.9
Hispanic or Latino	59.1	Students with Disabilities	9.0
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0.0		

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade Level	2010-11				2011-12				2012-13			
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		
		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+
K	29	0	2	0	31	0	4	0	32		3	1
1	28.3	0	3	0	29.8	0	4	0	28		5	
2	28.8	0	4	0	28.2	0	5	0	28	1	4	
3	24.8	1	4	0	24.8	1	4	0	28		5	
4	26.7	1	5	0	29.2	1	1	3	26	1	4	
5	29	0	5	0	29	1	4	0	26	2	4	
6	25.6	1	4	0	28.7	1	3	2	22	3	4	
Other												

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

School Safety Plan (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan, including the dates on which the safety plan was last reviewed, updated, and discussed with faculty; as well as a brief description of the key elements of the plan.

Summerwind School has developed a comprehensive disaster preparedness plan which was put into use during the Great Shake Out this past October. Summerwind conducted the Great Shake Out, Emergency drill in October of this year 2013. Continual updates on the disaster preparedness plan will be made as needed. Monthly fire drills and drop and cover drills are routinely held for disaster preparedness. Summerwind's Assistant Principal also attends the monthly Site Safety Meetings, sponsored by the district, to ensure that safety matters and concerns are addressed. Summerwind has implemented new routes for students to get to the cafeteria to ensure a safe transition and all staff including campus aides have radios. The radios allow for immediate communication between staff members in the event of an emergency.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School			District		
	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
Suspensions	9.97	12.29	13.01	14.14	17.14	15.98
Expulsions	0.27	0.10	0	0.69	0.43	.08

Note: The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment x 100.

VI. School Facilities

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2013-14)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Description of the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of the school facility
- Description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair

We take pride in keeping our school grounds and buildings clean on a regular basis. Our custodial staff performs basic cleaning operations in each classroom and other school facilities every day.

It is our policy to maintain our classrooms and restrooms in a clean and orderly manner on a daily basis with the support of the day and evening custodial staff. Our staff monitors the hallways and restrooms on a regular basis. The district policy is to make necessary repairs as quickly as possible to keep the facilities in a safe and working condition. A work order process is in place to assist in providing these services. We are very proud of the excellent condition of our Summerwind Campus and strive to continue making Summerwind a safe and secure learning environment.

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2013-14)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- The Overall Rating

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2013-14)				
Year and month in which data were collected: Dec 2013				
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	[X]	[]	[]	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	[X]	[]	[]	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	[X]	[]	[]	
Electrical: Electrical	[X]	[]	[]	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	[X]	[]	[]	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	[X]	[]	[]	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	[X]	[]	[]	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	[X]	[]	[]	

Overall Facility Rate

Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
	[]	[X]	[]	[]

VII. Teachers

Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School			District
	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2012-13
With Full Credential	44	49	38	812
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	4
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	---

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	1	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	1	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	1	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2012-13)

The federal ESEA, also known as NCLB, requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE Improving Teacher and Principal Quality Web page at www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/.

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects	
	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	100.0	0.0
All Schools in District	99.4	0.6
High-Poverty Schools in District	99.4	0.6
Low-Poverty Schools in District	0.0	0.0

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

VIII. Support Staff

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2012-13)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor		
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)		---
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)		---
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	.5	---
Psychologist	.5	---
Social Worker		---
Nurse		---
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1	---
Resource Specialist	2	---
Other		---

Note: One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

IX. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2013-14)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instruction materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Year and month in which data were collected: 2012-June

All students are provided with appropriate textbooks in each curriculum area.

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	K-6 ELA/ELD: Houghton Mifflin Medallions 2010 4-6 Core Replacement: Cambium Learning Group - Language! 2010	Yes	0
Mathematics	K-6: Scott Foresman-Addison Wesley enVision Math California 2009	Yes	0
Science	K-6: Harcourt California Edition Science 2007	Yes	0
History-Social Science	K-6: Macmillan McGraw Hill 2007	Yes	0

X. School Finances

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2011-12)

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	
School Site	\$361.80	\$234.47	\$127.33	\$57,041.59
District	---	---	\$85.08	\$65,654
Percent Difference: School Site and District	---	---	0%	0%
State	---	---	\$5,537	\$70,193
Percent Difference: School Site and State	---	---	0%	0%

Supplemental/Restricted expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted.

Basic/Unrestricted expenditures are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/>. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: <http://www.ed-data.org>.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2012-13)

This section provides specific information about the types of programs and services available at the school that support and assist students. For example, this narrative may include information about supplemental educational services related to the school's federal Program Improvement (PI) status.

Students at Summerwind School receive an adequate level of instructional and non-instructional support services from a highly qualified staff. Summerwind School has the availability of school psychologist, speech therapist and special education personnel to work with students who are struggling with issues that may impede their school achievement. In addition, a Gifted and Talented Education program is being developed to address their needs.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2011-12)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$35,094	\$41,451
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$63,873	\$67,655
Highest Teacher Salary	\$76,393	\$85,989
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$107,083	\$108,589
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$108,445	\$111,643
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$0	\$110,257
Superintendent Salary	\$218,093	\$182,548
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	36.6%	41.8%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	5.1%	5.5%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

XI. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

Professional Development

This section provides information on the number of days provided for professional development and continuous professional growth in the most recent three year period. Questions that may be answered include:

- What are the primary/major areas of focus for staff development and specifically how were they selected? For example, were student achievement data used to determine the need for professional development in reading instruction?
- What are the methods by which professional development is delivered (e.g., after school workshops, conference attendance, individual mentoring, etc.)?
- How are teachers supported during implementation (e.g., through in-class coaching, teacher-principal meetings, student performance, and data reporting, etc.)?

Teachers meet frequently within and across grade levels in lesson study and grade specific institutes to plan and discuss what they are doing and how to coordinate instruction. A teacher survey is taken at the end of the school year that guides site professional development and in-service selection decisions. Student data is also used to determine professional development offerings. Sites design specific professional development based on academic content standards, the California Standards for the Teaching Profession, and research-based practices.

Educational Services, Special Education, English Learners, Student Assessment and Accountability, and Teacher Support departments participate in the planning and implementation of District staff development activities. Courses are offered throughout the year on week nights and Saturdays.

Trainings are also offered during the summer months on content specific and professional areas such as Common Core state Standards Implementation SIOP, Grade level Facilitators, English Language Arts, mathematics workshops, and intervention programs. Administration training focuses on the development of professional learning communities.

Conference and convention attendance, in-service meetings and staff collaboration offers additional opportunities for professional growth. Teachers observe other professionals, engage in peer coaching and work with mentors and grade level or departmental colleagues.